

1
2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Anna Colvin,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 Commissioner of Social Security
13 Administration,

14 Defendant.

No. CV-23-00131-TUC-JCH

ORDER

15 Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Maria Aguilera's Report and Recommendation
16 ("R&R"). Doc. 21. Judge Aguilera recommends affirming the decision of the Social
17 Security Administration Commissioner. Doc. 21 at 1. Neither party objects to the R&R.
18 *See generally* docket.

19 A district court reviews objected-to portions of an R&R de novo. 28 U.S.C.
20 § 636(b)(1); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); *United States v. Remsing*, 874 F.2d 614, 617
21 (9th Cir. 1989). Failure to timely object may be considered a waiver of a party's right to de
22 novo consideration of the issues. *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121–22
23 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). The advisory committee's notes to Rule 72(b) of the Federal
24 Rules of Civil Procedure state that, "[w]hen no timely objection is filed, the court need only
25 satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the
26 recommendation" of a magistrate judge. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) advisory committee's note to
27 1983 addition. *See also Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999).


28 After independent review, the Court finds no error and will adopt the R&R in full.

1 Accordingly,

2 **IT IS ORDERED ADOPTING IN FULL** the R&R (Doc. 21).

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AFFIRMING** the Commissioner's decision. The
4 Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly and close this case.

5 Dated this 7th day of December, 2023.

6
7
8 
9 _____
10 John C. Hinderaker
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28